

OKC-2848

Copy 4 of 5

27 December 1961

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Runway Barrier [REDACTED]

25X1A

25X1A

1. Reference paragraph 3 of OKCART memorandum 2834, dated 21 December 1961, Status Report by Mr. [REDACTED]

25X1A

2. Barriers consist of two distinct components: energy absorbers and engaging devices. The engaging device connects the aircraft to the energy absorber so that the arrestment can be accomplished. In general, any engaging device can be used with any energy absorber. To clarify [REDACTED] comment, IAC objects to the use of a dynamically supported engaging device rather than the BAK-9, which is only an energy absorber. He and IAC consider that a cable supported by arms which flip up and hold the cable statically will provide a more reliable engagement. In all the present non-tail hook engaging devices used by the Air Force, the cable is thrown up to engage the main gear and if it fails to engage, falls back to the ground. All Air Force fighters are being equipped with the tail-hook device, which by engaging a pendant cable statically supported at 6" height, has proved to be highly reliable in the range 0-160 knots. The reasons why a statically supported pop-up cable has not been developed by the Air Force for non-tail hook aircraft is unknown.

3. Objections to the use of a BAK-9 energy absorber should not be based on the engaging device attached thereto. This absorber has proven to be the best of several absorbers tested at the flight test center and is considered quite superior to the chain type absorber in practical applications. The chain absorbers are limited by low energy capacity, long recycle time and varying load during arrestment. Structural limits of the aircraft may also be exceeded if the amount of chain is increased to provide higher energy absorption. Although the chain absorber is in wide use, it is being replaced with more advanced systems as rapidly as funds permit.

OK act

-2-

OKC-2048

4. The question of an aircraft hitting a barrier [redacted]

[redacted]
decrease in directional control resulting from [redacted]
tend to make hitting the overrun improbable. The long roll [redacted]
[redacted] with a heavily loaded aircraft, will result
in extreme tire loads and temperatures with very high probability
of (despite the new tires) blowouts and at least partial loss of
directional control. The engagement of any type barrier, or even
hitting the graded overrun, is therefore questionable.

5. The most reliable presently known system for stopping the
OKCART vehicle with least damage would be by use of a tail hook,
pendant cable and BAK-9 combination, with the engaging device at the
end of the runway, about 12-13,000 feet from the point where take-off
roll begins. The tail hook eliminates the yawing and rolling moments
generated by asymmetric engagement of the main gear by a cable. If
it can be shown that a tail hook installation is not possible, then
a system whereby the main gear is engaged by a cable, together with
the BAK-9 absorber, at the same location, would be the second most
appropriate method. The runway length quoted is that considered
by me to be the most suitable for operational requirements of the
OKCART vehicle based on the latest predictable fully loaded performance capability.

[redacted]
Lt. Colonel USAF

DB/DPD:RLM:jba
Distribution:
Cy 1-C/DB/DPD
2-AC/DPD
3-SFB/DPD
4-DB/DPD
5-RI/DPD